



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

HC

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|

09/135,504 06/22/98 IGBINADOLOR

P

LM01/0217

PHILLIP IGBINADOLOR  
240-27 CANEY ROAD  
ROSEDALE NY 11422

EXAMINER

GRANT, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2711

14

DATE MAILED:

02/17/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**09/135,504**

Applicant(s)  
**IGBINADOLOR**

Examiner  
**Christopher Grant**

Group Art Unit  
**2711**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher Grant

(3) Phillip Igbinadolor

(2) Andrew Faile

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 15, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:  
none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Instructed applicant to submit the mark-up copy by taking a copy of the substitute specification and indicate in pen the location of the substitute specification subject matter relative to the originally filed specification subject matter. In other words, applicant should indicate on the substitute specification in pen, the page and line number of the corresponding subject matter in the originally specification.

Attached: the originally filed specification that you submitted. Pages 1-6 of the first part of a specification, pages 1-2 of claims, pages 11-41 of the remaining specification and pages 1-2 of the abstract.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

**CHRISTOPHER GRANT**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**ART UNIT 2711**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.